

## REMARKS

In the Office Action of March 7, 2008, claims 1-20 were objected to because of the use of the term “capable of” in claims 1, 12 and 20. In addition, claims 1-4, 8-5 14, 16, 18, 19 and 20 were rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as allegedly being unpatentable over claims 12-22 of U.S. Patent No. 7,274,707. Furthermore, claims 5-7 and 15 were rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as allegedly being unpatentable over claim 12 of U.S. Patent No. 7,274,707.

10

In response, Applicants have amended the independent claims 1, 12 and 20 to overcome the claim objections. In particular, each phrase “*capable of transmitting and receiving*” in claims 1, 12 and 20 has now been replaced with the phrase “*configured to transmit and receive*.<sup>1</sup>” With respect to the claim rejections, Applicants 15 hereby submit a terminal disclaimer to overcome the nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejections of the pending claims 1-20. In view of the claim amendments and the terminal disclaimer, Applicants respectfully request that the pending claims 1-20 be allowed.

20

Applicants respectfully request reconsideration of the claims in view of the remarks made herein. A notice of allowance is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,  
Hirsch et al.

25

Date: May 23, 2008

By: /thomas h. ham/  
Thomas H. Ham  
Registration No. 43,654  
Telephone: (925) 249-1300